



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/027,777

12/26/2001

Ian MacLean

NOR-14892

9599

7590

11/05/2009

Ira S. Matsil
Slater & Matsil, L.L.P.
Suite 1000
17950 Preston Road
Dallas, TX 75252

EXAMINER

SWARTZ, JAMIE H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3684

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/05/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/027,777	Applicant(s) MACLEAN ET AL.	
	Examiner JAMIE H. SWARTZ	Art Unit 3684	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 July 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,12-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,12-16 and 18-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status

1. Claims 1, 3, 6-7, 13, 15, and 21 have been amended. No claims have been added. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-21 are currently pending. Claim 22 is cancelled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

3. In response to applicant's argument on page 10 that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., different type of data bits) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

4. On page 10 of applicant's arguments the applicant argues that the prior art does not specifically have a first and a second data. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As even the applicant states there exists free data as well as chargeable data. There is a difference between the two types. One is chargeable and one is free. The applicant fails to claim any specific information about what types the data could be.

Art Unit: 3684

5. On page 11 of applicant's arguments the applicant argues that the SGSN does not accumulate the data for billing. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As can be seen in at least ¶ 39 the SGSN collects the data for billing purposes and must then decide what to charge, whether it be free data or chargeable data.

6. On page 11 of applicant's arguments the applicant stated that the Alloune reference does not teach what was stated in the office action. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As can be seen in at least col. 2, line 16 -67 Alloune teaches accumulating a billable data count (or charge records) for an individual user.

7. On page 12 of applicant's arguments the applicant has agreed that it was old and well known at the time of the invention for nodes within a computer to do a multiple function. Though the applicant was claiming a method the examiner deemed it necessary to show the appropriateness of combining the references by discussing specifics of how the invention would interrelate.

8. Applicant's arguments filed July 24, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

9. On page 11 of applicant's arguments the applicant argues that the data count is not transmitted from the GGSN to a SGSN. Though there is data transferred back and

Art Unit: 3684

forth and the reference even states that the two can be the same thing the examiner is now relying on Faccinn et al. (US 20020127995 A1) to teach the limitation.

10. Also, Examiner notes that, as per MPEP § 2144.03(C), the statements of Official Notice made in the art rejection have been established as admitted prior art since Applicant has not traversed the Examiner's assertions of Official Notice. More specifically, the following statements of Official Notice are now formally established on record as admitted prior art: Official notice now admitted prior art is taken that it was well known in the telecommunications art at the time of the invention for a type of data to have different billable data rates.

Specification

11. The amendment filed July 24, 2009 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The applicant has included 2 additional paragraphs which include "according to another embodiment." Applicant cannot introduce new matter into the discloser.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

12. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3684

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

13. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1 and 13 were amended to include "at said GGSN, accumulating only a billable data count." There is no support in the specification for this new limitation. There is only support for the SCP receiving only a billable data count. Claim 7 was amended to include details that were added as new matter into the specification. Thus claim 7 regarding the 4 different types of data contain new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. Claims 1, 3-5, 8-9, 12-17, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haumont (US 20030027554 A1) in view of Alloune et al. (US 6615034 B1) in further view of Cushnie (2000) in further view of Kari et al. (US 6480485 B1) in further view of Faccinn et al. (US 20020127995 A1).

16. Regarding claim 1, Haumont teaches a method of providing content-based billing to a prepaid subscriber (§§ 18-20). Haumont teaches initiating information exchange between a first Serving General Packet Radio Service Support Node (SGSN) and Server Control Point (SCP) regarding the prepaid subscriber (§§ 32, 38, 52-61, 19). Haumont teaches providing volume limit threshold of a type of data to the first SGSN (§§ 28, 32-40). Haumont teaches forwarding the volume limit threshold data to a Gateway GPRS Support Node (GGSN) (§§ 17, 80). Haumont teaches accumulating a data count at a SGSN comprising both said type of data and a second type of data (§§ 28, 32-40). Haumont teaches transmitting the accumulated data count to the first SGSN when the accumulated data count reaches the volume limit threshold (abstract, §§ 15, 28, 32-34, 80-81). Haumont teaches providing the accumulated billable data count to the SCP (§§ 32-34, 40, 42, 57). Haumont teaches billing (§§ 18-20) does not specifically teach accumulating a billable data count. However, Alloune teaches accumulating a billable data count relating to a profile of a prepaid subscriber (col. 2, line 15 - col. 8, line 50). Haumont teaches services accessible via a subscription in a telecommunication system, and particularly to a subscription having an account and a predetermined limit. Alloune teaches communication billing system that provides interrelated processing of wireless service events. It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Haumont to include the details of accumulating a billable data count. The majority of telecommunication systems bill based on units or segments of time. In order to bill a customer it is a requirement that the telecommunications company

Art Unit: 3684

accumulate the units or segments into a billable data count. Haumont teaches the forwarding of a volume limit threshold, however not of specific billable data specifically to the GGSN. Haumont teaches that it was known in the art at the time of the invention to forward information from one node to another node. Forwarding specific information in regards to the billable data allows for the information to be forwarded to the correct node. In the Cushnie article one node (GGSN) bills for one usage while the other node bills for a different usage. Whatever node is specifically set up for the billing is required to receive the information in regards to how much to bill as well as information about whether or not the user has gone over predefined user thresholds. Cushnie teaches accumulating a only billable data count at said GGSN comprising a type of data relating to a profile of a prepaid subscriber (pg. 316, Fig. 1). Fig 1 of the Cushnie article teaches the interconnection between the SGSN and the GGSN. The data that flows between the two as well as the billing tickets that are formed from the information. Haumont does not specifically teach the data being transferred from the SGSN to the SCP. However, Cushnie teaches wherein the billable data count is forwarded to a control point from the SGSN (pg. 312-323, Fig. 1). Both Cushnie and Haumont teach billing for different communication models. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Haumont to teach the various connections of the nodes. GGSNs, SGSNs, and SCPs are old and well known in the art. GGSNs and SGSNs are well known to communicate data and information. As can be seen in Haumont Fig. 1 SGSN and SCP communicate as well. All the physical features of claim 1 are taught by Haumont, though he does not specifically teach all the interrelations

Art Unit: 3684

between the different nodes. However, it was old and well known at the time of the invention for nodes within a computer to do multiple functions, to receive information, to accumulate information, and to transmit that information back to the control point. The combination of Haumont, Cushnie, and Alloune do not specifically teach the GGSN accumulating a billable data for only one type of data. However Kari teaches accumulating a billable data for one type of data. Further, Kari also teaches GGSN and SGSN having separate types of data and data counts as well accumulating both and transmitting (see at least col. 2, lines 10-60). Haumont teaches services accessible via a subscription in a telecommunication system, and particularly to a subscription having an account and a predetermined limit. Kari teaches a packet radio system comprising a digital mobile communication network. It would have been obvious to modify Haumont, Cushnie, and Alloune to include the details of only comprising a specific type of data to be accumulated by the GGSN. Because the GGSN collects only the billable data it is important for the only data that is distributed to the GGSN to be data that would be billable. GGSN and SGSN accumulate different forms of data. Allowing for different nodes to collect different sources of data is very efficient and also allows for a system that has different types of data that can be sent and effectively and accurately billed. Haumont does not specifically go into the details of transferring billable data count from the GGSN to the SGSN. However, Faccinn teaches that the data count is transmitted from the GGSN to a SGSN (see at least ¶ 17). This known technique is applicable to the method of Faccinn as they both share characteristics and capabilities, namely they are directed to billing within the art of cellular technology. One of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 3684

would have recognized that applying the known technique of Faccinn would have yielded predictable results and resulted in an improved system. It would have been recognized that applying the technique of Faccinn to the teachings of Haumont would have yielded predictable results because the level of ordinary skill in the art demonstrated by the references applied shows the ability to incorporate such data count transfer of information into similar systems. Further, applying data count transfer protocol to Haumont, would have been recognized by those of ordinary skill in the art as resulting in an improved system because as the GGSN provides access to hosts wanting to communicate with mobile subscribers the SGSN mediates access to network resources on behalf of mobile subscribers. If the subscriber is over their maximum the SGSN would be able to affect their access.

17. Regarding claim 3, Haumont teaches transmitting a new volume limit threshold for a type of data to the first SGSN and forwarding the new volume limit threshold for the same to the GGSN (§§ 32-35, 40-42, 57-63).

18. Regarding claim 4, Haumont teaches providing the first SGSN with information to release or terminate communications with the PDP a Packet Data Provider (PDP) (§§ 18, 20, 32-33, 44-45, 51, 54-56, 61).

Art Unit: 3684

19. Regarding claim 5, Haumont teaches wherein the GGSN does not accumulate billable count for selected types of data in accordance with the subscriber profile (§§ 18, 24-25, 29-39).

20. Regarding claim 8, Haumont teaches wherein the step of providing volume limit threshold data is provided from the SCP (§§ 25, 32-35, 40-42, 57-63).

21. Regarding claim 9, Haumont teaches wherein the step of forwarding the volume limit threshold data is forwarded from the first SGSN (§§ 32-44, 80).

22. Regarding claim 12, Haumont teaches wherein the information to release or terminate is provided from the SCP (§§ 25-27, 31-53). Haumont includes each stage including termination.

23. Regarding claim 13, Haumont teaches contacting the first SGSN by a second SGSN indicating a mobility transfer (§§ 17-20, 75, 80). Haumont teaches transmitting the accumulated data count (§§ 17-20, 54-55). Haumont teaches providing the accumulated data count to the SCP (§§ 25-27, 31). Haumont teaches terminating communications between the SCP and the first SGSN with respect to the prepaid subscriber (§§ 36-40, 42-61). Haumont teaches establishing communications between the second SGSN and the SCP with respect to the prepaid subscriber (§§ 36-40, 42-61). Haumont teaches providing volume limit threshold data from the SCP to the second SGSN (§§ 32-40).

Art Unit: 3684

Haumont teaches accumulating a data count at a SGSN comprising both said first type of data and a second type of data (§§ 28, 32-40). Haumont teaches forwarding the volume limited threshold data from the second SGSN to the GGSN (§§50-61). Haumont teaches transmitting the accumulated data count to the SGSN (§§ 20, 17, abstract, §§ 15, 28, 32-34, 80-81). Haumont teaches transmitting the accumulated data count to the second SGSN when the accumulated data count reaches the volume limit threshold (abstract, §§ 15, 28, 32-34, 80-81). Haumont teaches providing the accumulated data count to the SCP (abstract, §§ 15, 28, 32-34, 40-42, 57). Haumont teaches billing (§§ 18-20) but does not specifically teach accumulating a billable data count. However, Alloune teaches accumulating only a billable data count at the GGSN a type data according to the prepaid subscriber profile (col. 2, line 15 - col. 8, line 50). Haumont teaches services accessible via a subscription in a telecommunication system, and particularly to a subscription having an account and a predetermined limit. Alloune teaches communication billing system that provides interrelated processing of wireless service events. It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Haumont to include the details of accumulating a billable data count. The majority of telecommunication systems bill based on units or segments of time. In order to bill a customer it is a requirement that the telecommunications company accumulate the units or segments into a billable data count. Haumont does not specifically teach the data being transferred from the SGSN to the SCP. However, Cushnie teaches wherein the billable data count is forwarded to a control point from the SGSN (pg. 312-323, Fig. 1). Both Cushnie and Haumont teach billing for different

Art Unit: 3684

communication models. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Haumont to teach the various connections of the nodes. GGSNs, SGSNs, and SCPs are old and well known in the art. GGSNs and SGSNs are well known to communicate data and information. As can be seen in Haumont Fig. 1 SGSN and SCP communicate as well. All the physical features of claim 1 are taught by Haumont, though he does not specifically teach all the interrelations between the different nodes. However, it was old and well known at the time of the invention for nodes within a computer to do multiple functions, to receive information, to accumulate information, and to transmit that information back to the control point. The combination of Haumont, Cushnie, and Alloune do not specifically teach the GGSN accumulating a billable data for only one type of data. However Kari teaches accumulating a billable data for one type of data. Further, Kari also teaches GGSN and SGSN having separate types of data and data counts as well accumulating both and transmitting (see at least col. 2, lines 10-60). Haumont teaches services accessible via a subscription in a telecommunication system, and particularly to a subscription having an account and a predetermined limit. Kari teaches a packet radio system comprising a digital mobile communication network. It would have been obvious to modify Haumont, Cushnie, and Alloune to include the details of only comprising a specific type of data to be accumulated by the GGSN. Because the GGSN collects only the billable data it is important for the only data that is distributed to the GGSN to be data that would be billable. GGSN and SGSN accumulate different forms of data. Allowing for different nodes to collect different sources of data is very efficient and also allows for a system

Art Unit: 3684

that has different types of data that can be sent and effectively and accurately billed.

Haumont does not specifically go into the details of transferring billable data count from the GGSN to the SGSN. However, Faccinn teaches that the data count is transmitted from the GGSN to a SGSN (see at least ¶ 17). This known technique is applicable to the method of Faccinn as they both share characteristics and capabilities, namely they are directed to billing within the art of cellular technology. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that applying the known technique of Faccinn would have yielded predictable results and resulted in an improved system. It would have been recognized that applying the technique of Faccinn to the teachings of Haumont would have yielded predictable results because the level of ordinary skill in the art demonstrated by the references applied shows the ability to incorporate such data count transfer of information into similar systems. Further, applying data count transfer protocol to Haumont, would have been recognized by those of ordinary skill in the art as resulting in an improved system because as the GGSN provides access to hosts wanting to communicate with mobile subscribers the SGSN mediates access to network resources on behalf of mobile subscribers. If the subscriber is over their maximum the SGSN would be able to affect their access.

24. Regarding claim 14, Haumont teaches transmitting the accumulated data count prior to attainment of the volume limit threshold (¶ 17, 81). Haumont teaches billing (¶ 18-20) does not specifically teach accumulating a billable data count. However, Alloune teaches accumulating a billable data count relating to a profile of a prepaid subscriber

Art Unit: 3684

(col. 2, line 15 - col. 8, line 50). Haumont teaches services accessible via a subscription in a telecommunication system, and particularly to a subscription having an account and a predetermined limit. Alloune teaches communication billing system that provides interrelated processing of wireless service events. It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Haumont to include the details of accumulating a billable data count. The majority of telecommunication systems bill based on units or segments of time. In order to bill a customer it is a requirement that the telecommunications company accumulate the units or segments into a billable data count. Haumont teaches the forwarding of a volume limit threshold, however not of specific billable data specifically to the GGSN. Haumont teaches that it was known in the art at the time of the invention to forward information from one node to another node. Forwarding specific information in regards to the billable data allows for the information to be forwarded to the correct node. In the Cushnie article one node (GGSN) bills for one usage while the other node bills for a different usage. Whatever node is specifically set up for the billing is required to receive the information in regards to how much to bill as well as information about whether or not the user has gone over predefined user thresholds. Cushnie teaches accumulating a billable data count at said GGSN comprising a first type of data relating to a profile of a prepaid subscriber (pg. 312-323, Fig. 1). Fig 1 of the Cushnie article teaches the interconnection between the SGSN and the GGSN. The data that flows between the two as well as the billing tickets that are formed from the information. Haumont does not specifically teach the data being transferred from the SGSN to the SCP. However, Cushnie teaches wherein the

Art Unit: 3684

data is forwarded to a control point from the SGSN (pg. 312-323, Fig. 1). Both Cushnie and Haumont teach billing for different communication models. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Haumont to teach the various connections of the nodes. GGSNs, SGSNs, and SCPs are old and well known in the art. GGSNs and SGSNs are well known to communicate data and information. As can be seen in Haumont Fig. 1 SGSN and SCP communicate as well. All the physical features of claim 1 are taught by Haumont, though he does not specifically teach all the interrelations between the different nodes. However, it was old and well known at the time of the invention for nodes within a computer to do multiple functions, to receive information, to accumulate information, and to transmit that information back to the control point. Further, Kari also teaches GGSN and SGSN having separate types of data and data counts as well accumulating both and transmitting (see at least col. 2, lines 10-60).

25. Regarding claim 15, Haumont teaches transmitting a new volume limit threshold for a type of data from the SCP to the second SGSN and forwarding the new volume limit threshold for a type of data from the second SGSN to the GGSN (§§ 32-35, 40-42, 57-63).

26. Regarding claim 16, Haumont teaches providing the second SGSN with information to release or terminate communications with the PDP a Packet Data Provider (PDP) (§§ 18, 20, 32-33, 44-45, 51, 54-56, 61).

Art Unit: 3684

27. Regarding claim 17, Haumont teaches wherein the GGSN does not accumulate billable count for selected types of data in accordance with the subscriber profile (§§ 18, 24-25, 29-39).

28. Regarding claim 21, Haumont teaches wherein the charge rate of a type of data is provided at no cost to the subscriber (see at least abstract).

29. Claims 2, 10, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haumont (US 20030027554 A1) in view of Alloune et al. (US 6615034 B1) further view of Cushnie (2000) in further view of Kari et al. (US 6480485 B1) as shown above in further view of Official Notice now admitted prior art, in further view of Faccinn et al. (US 20020127995 A1).

30. Regarding claim 2, Haumont teaches transmitting the accumulated data count if an exchange of data between the BSC/RNC a Base Station Controller/Radio Network Controller (BSC/RNC) and the first SGSN is interrupted before the volume limit threshold is reached (§§ 17, 81). Calls are often stopped or disconnected well before the volume limit threshold is reached. A call that would not be disconnected before the volume limit threshold would be a call that would consume the volume in its entirety. Calls are terminated all the time. Official Notice now admitted prior art is taken that UMTS has RNC. Official Notice is taken that a BSC is part of a BSS. Haumont teaches

Art Unit: 3684

billing (§ 18-20) does not specifically teach accumulating a billable data count. However, Alloune teaches accumulating a billable data count relating to a profile of a prepaid subscriber (col. 2, line 15 - col. 8, line 50). Haumont teaches services accessible via a subscription in a telecommunication system, and particularly to a subscription having an account and a predetermined limit. Alloune teaches communication billing system that provides interrelated processing of wireless service events. It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Haumont to include the details of accumulating a billable data count. The majority of telecommunication systems bill based on units or segments of time. In order to bill a customer it is a requirement that the telecommunications company accumulate the units or segments into a billable data count. Haumont teaches the forwarding of a volume limit threshold, however not of specific billable data specifically to the GGSN. Haumont teaches that it was known in the art at the time of the invention to forward information from one node to another node. Forwarding specific information in regards to the billable data allows for the information to be forwarded to the correct node. In the Cushnie article one node (GGSN) bills for one usage while the other node bills for a different usage. Whatever node is specifically set up for the billing is required to receive the information in regards to how much to bill as well as information about whether or not the user has gone over predefined user thresholds. Cushnie teaches accumulating a billable data count at said GGSN comprising a first type of data relating to a profile of a prepaid subscriber (pg. 312-323, Fig. 1). Fig 1 of the Cushnie article teaches the interconnection between the SGSN and the GGSN. The data that flows between the two as well as the billing tickets

Art Unit: 3684

that are formed from the information. Haumont does not specifically teach the data being transferred from the SGSN to the SCP. However, Cushnie teaches wherein the data is forwarded to a control point from the SGSN (pg. 312-323, Fig. 1). Both Cushnie and Haumont teach billing for different communication models. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Haumont to teach the various connections of the nodes. GGSNs, SGSNs, and SCPs are old and well known in the art. GGSNs and SGSNs are well known to communicate data and information. As can be seen in Haumont Fig. 1 SGSN and SCP communicate as well. All the physical features of claim 1 are taught by Haumont, though he does not specifically teach all the interrelations between the different nodes. However, it was old and well known at the time of the invention for nodes within a computer to do multiple functions, to receive information, to accumulate information, and to transmit that information back to the control point. Further, Kari also teaches GGSN and SGSN having separate types of data and data counts as well accumulating both and transmitting (see at least col. 2, lines 10-60).

31. Regarding claim 10, Haumont teaches the step of exchanging data between a Base Station Controller (BSC), the first SGSN, and the GGSN (¶ 17, 19). Official Notice now admitted prior art is taken that the BSC is a part of the BSS.

Art Unit: 3684

32. Regarding claim 20, Haumont teaches exchanging data between a second BSC, the second SGSN and the GGSN (¶ 17, 19). Official Notice now admitted prior art is taken that the BSC is a part of the BSS.

33. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haumont (US 20030027554 A1) in view of Alloune et al. (US 6615034 B1) further view of Cushnie (2000) in further view of Kari et al. (US 6480485 B1) as shown above in further view of Hasan et al. (US 6707813 B1), in further view of Faccinn et al. (US 20020127995 A1).

34. Regarding claim 18, Haumont teaches transiting accumulated data count, providing the accumulated data count, terminated communications, establishing communications, providing volume limit, and forwarding said volume limit. Alloune teaches accumulating billable data. Haumont and Alloune combined do not specifically teach where the second SGSN is established prior to terminating the first SGSN because wireless voice communication works off of towers to transmit the signal. However, Hasan teaches wherein communication between the second SGSN and the SCP is established prior to termination of communication between the first SGSN and SCP (col. 1, line 62 – col. 7, line 35). Haumont teaches services accessible via a subscription in a telecommunication system, and particularly to a subscription having an account and a predetermined limit. Alloune teaches communication billing system that provides interrelated processing of wireless service events. Hasan teaches a radio

Art Unit: 3684

telecommunication systems and a method of call control to minimize delays in launching multimedia or voice calls in a packet-switched radio telecommunications network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Haumont to include the specifics of where the second SGSN is established prior to terminating the first SGSN because wireless voice communication works off of towers to transmit the signal. The signal of an individual tower is not infinite, so it requires the use of multiple towers to be sure there aren't interruptions in use. All cellular communication requires the use of more than one tower. It is vital when using wireless voice communication to have seamless communication. If the second SGSN is not established prior to the termination of the first SGSN an interruption in the conversation will occur, causing a brief silence or a force termination of the call. From a customer service aspect, force termination or interruptions are frowned upon.

35. Regarding claim 19, Haumont teaches transiting accumulated data count, providing the accumulated data count, terminated communications, establishing communications, providing volume limit, and forwarding said volume limit. Alloune teaches accumulating billable data. Haumont and Alloune combined do not specifically teach transferring information between the first and second SGSN. However, Hasan teaches exchanging information between the first SGSN and the second SGSN (col. 1, line 62 – col. 7, line 35). Haumont teaches services accessible via a subscription in a telecommunication system, and particularly to a subscription having an account and a predetermined limit. Alloune teaches communication billing system that provides

Art Unit: 3684

interrelated processing of wireless service events. Hasan teaches a radio telecommunication systems and a method of call control to minimize delays in launching multimedia or voice calls in a packet-switched radio telecommunications network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Haumont to include the specifics of transferring information between the first and second SGSN. This is to not interrupt the communication when going from the first to the second SGSN to accomplish this would require information relating to the current communication being transferred.

36. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haumont (US 20030027554 A1) in view of Alloune et al. (US 6615034 B1) further view of Cushnie (2000) in further view of Kari et al. (US 6480485 B1) as shown above in further view of Official Notice now admitted prior art, in further view of Faccinn et al. (US 20020127995 A1).

37. Regarding claim 6, Haumont teaches wherein the step of accumulating billable data count at the GGSN comprises the step of accumulating different types of data counts for different billable data rates (§§ 18, 24-25, 29-43, 62). Official notice now admitted prior art is taken that it was well known in the telecommunications art at the time of the invention for a type of data to have different billable data rates. For example peak time, incoming calls, call from the same network, off peak time all sends the same data but is billed at a different rate based on when the call comes in and from whom.

38. Regarding claim 7, Haumont teaches wherein a first type of data is provided at no cost to the subscriber and does not accumulate a data count at the GGSN, another type of data is accumulated at a first rate at the GGSN, another type of data accumulates at a rate lower than the first rate at the GGSN, and another type of data accumulates at a rate greater than the first rate at the GGSN (¶ 18, 24-25, 29-62, 80). Official notice now admitted prior art is taken that it was well known in the telecommunications art at the time of the invention for a type of data to have different billable data rates. For example peak time, incoming calls, call from the same network, off peak time all sends the same data but is billed at a different rate based on when the call comes in and from whom. Thus a first of a first type, a second of a first type, and a third of a first type all having different rates but all being the same data.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3684

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMIE H. SWARTZ whose telephone number is (571)272-7363. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached on (571)272-6702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,777
Art Unit: 3684

Page 24

/J. H. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 3684

/Susanna M. Diaz/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3684